Environmental Science & Technology

Impact to Underground Sources of Drinking Water and Domestic Wells from Production Well Stimulation and Completion Practices in the Pavillion, Wyoming, Field

4 Dominic C. DiGiulio*^{,†} and Robert B. Jackson^{†,‡,§}

⁵ Department of Earth System Science, [‡]Woods Institute for the Environment, and [§]Precourt Institute for Energy, Stanford University,
⁶ Stanford, California 94305, United States

7 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A comprehensive analysis of all publicly available 8 9 data and reports was conducted to evaluate impact to Underground Sources of Drinking Water (USDWs) as a result 10 of acid stimulation and hydraulic fracturing in the Pavillion, WY, 11 Field. Although injection of stimulation fluids into USDWs in the 12 Pavillion Field was documented by EPA, potential impact to 13 USDWs at the depths of stimulation as a result of this activity 14 was not previously evaluated. Concentrations of major ions in 15 produced water samples outside expected levels in the Wind 16 River Formation, leakoff of stimulation fluids into formation 17 media, and likely loss of zonal isolation during stimulation at 18 several production wells, indicates that impact to USDWs has 19 occurred. Detection of organic compounds used for well 20 stimulation in samples from two monitoring wells installed by 21



22 EPA, plus anomalies in major ion concentrations in water from one of these monitoring wells, provide additional evidence of

23 impact to USDWs and indicate upward solute migration to depths of current groundwater use. Detection of diesel range organics 24 and other organic compounds in domestic wells <600 m from unlined pits used prior to the mid-1990s to dispose diesel-fuel</p>

25 based drilling mud and production fluids suggest impact to domestic wells as a result of legacy pit disposal practices.

26 INTRODUCTION

27 Between 2005 and 2013, natural gas production in the U.S. 28 increased by 35% largely due to unconventional gas production 29 in shale and tight gas formations.¹ Between 2013 and 2040, 30 natural gas production is expected to increase another 45% with 31 production from tight gas formations in particular increasing 32 from 4.4 to 7.0 trillion cubic feet (59%) primarily in the Gulf 33 Coast and Dakotas/Rocky Mountain regions.¹ Tight gas 34 formations already account for 26% of total natural gas 35 production in the United States today.²

In the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), there are 36 37 two federal regulations for protecting groundwater resources 38 for present and future use relevant to oil and gas extraction -39 "Underground Source of Drinking Water" (USDW) and 40 "usable water." A USDW is defined in 40 CFR 144.3 in 41 requirements for the Underground Injection Control program 42 promulgated under Part C of the Safe Drinking Water Act 43 (SDWA) as "an aquifer or its portion: (a)(1) Which supplies 44 any public water system; or (2) Which contains a sufficient 45 quantity of ground water to supply a public water system; and 46 (i) Currently supplies drinking water for human consumption; 47 or (ii) Contains fewer than 10 000 mg/L total dissolved solids; 48 and (b) Which is not an exempted aquifer." With the exception 49 of use of diesel fuels, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 ("EPAct") 50 exempted hydraulic fracturing from the SDWA, thereby

allowing injection of stimulation fluids into USDWs. However, 51 under Section 1431 of the SDWA, the Administrator of EPA 52 may take action if impact to a USDW "may present an 53 imminent and substantial endangerment to the health of 54 persons." 55

The term "usable water" applies to lands containing federal 56 or tribal mineral rights regulated by the Bureau of Land 57 Management (BLM). This term is applicable to the Pavillion 58 Field because tribal mineral rights are associated with more 59 than half of production wells there. In the BLM Onshore Oil 60 and Gas Order No. 2, usable water is defined as water 61 containing $\leq 10\,000$ mg/L total dissolved solids (TDS) – a 62 definition maintained in the March 2015 BLM rule on 63 hydraulic fracturing (43 CFR 3160). In 43 CFR 3160, BLM 64 retained a threshold for groundwater protection at 10 000 65 mg/L stating, "Given the increasing scarcity and technological 66 improvements in water treatment, it is not unreasonable to 67 assume aquifers with TDS levels above 5000 ppm are usable 68 now or will be usable in the future." However, on September 69 30, 2015, the U.S. District Court for Wyoming granted a 70

Received:October 9, 2015Revised:March 10, 2016Accepted:March 16, 2016



⁷¹ preliminary injunction filed by the States of Wyoming and ⁷² Colorado to stop implementation of the BLM rule based on the ⁷³ assertion that the EPAct precludes BLM rulemaking.³

In 2004, EPA⁴ documented the widespread use of hydraulic 74 75 fracturing in USDWs colocated in formations used for coal bed 76 methane (CBM) recovery. EPA⁴ acknowledged likely ground-77 water contamination as a result of this activity but stated that 78 the attenuation factors of dilution, adsorption, and biode-79 gradation would reduce contaminant concentrations to safe so levels prior to reaching domestic wells that are generally 81 shallower than production wells. Thus, EPA⁴ distinguished 82 impact to USDWs from impact to domestic wells. In 2014, 83 while defining the chemical abstract numbers of fluids 84 designated as diesel fuels, EPA revised its position and stated 85 that injecting stimulation fluids directly into USDWs "presents 86 an immediate risk to public health because it can directly 87 degrade groundwater, especially if the injected fluids do not 88 benefit from any natural attenuation from contact with soil, as 89 they might during movement through an aquifer or separating 90 stratum.

f1

The Pavillion Field (Figure 1) is located east of the Town of Pavillion in Fremont County, WY, in the west-central portion



Figure 1. Central portion of the Pavillion Field illustrating locations of domestic water wells, production wells, plugged and abandoned (P&A) wells, and EPA monitoring wells (labeled). The entire Field, with labels for production and domestic wells and approximate locations of unlined pits, is illustrated in Figure SI A5. The geographic area in which the Field is located is illustrated in Figure SI A1.

93 of the Wind River Basin (WRB) (Figure SI A1). The field 94 consists of 181 production wells including plugged and 95 abandoned wells. Conventional and unconventional (tight 96 gas) hydrocarbon production in the Pavillion Field is primarily 97 natural gas from sandstone units in the Paleocene Fort Union 98 and overlying Early Eocene Wind River Formations. However, 99 oil has also been produced from production wells in these 100 formations, primarily in the western portion of the field close to 101 the suspected location of a fault (SI Sections A.1 and A.2).

In response to complaints regarding foul taste and odor in water from domestic wells within the Pavillion Field, EPA initiated a groundwater investigation in September 2008 under the Comprehensive Environmental Response and Liability Act (CERCLA).⁶ This investigation remains the only one in which CERCLA has been invoked to investigate potential groundwater contamination due to hydraulic fracturing.⁷ Under CERCLA, impact to both groundwater resources and domestic wells is evaluated, in contrast to limiting evaluation to impact to domestic wells as is common in oil- and gas-field-based 111 investigations. 112

EPA conducted two domestic well sampling events in March ¹¹³ 2009 (Phase I)⁶ and January 2010 (Phase II).⁸ Between June ¹¹⁴ and September 2010, EPA installed two monitoring wells, ¹¹⁵ MW01 and MW02, using mud rotary drilling with screened ¹¹⁶ intervals at 233–239 m and 296–302 m below ground surface ¹¹⁷ (bgs), respectively. These monitoring wells were installed to ¹¹⁸ evaluate potential upward solute transport of compounds ¹¹⁹ associated with well stimulation to maximum depths of current ¹²⁰ groundwater use (~322 m).⁹ EPA sampled MW01 and MW02 ¹²¹ during the Phase III (October 2010) and Phase IV (April 2011) ¹²² sampling events.

In December 2011, EPA⁹ released a draft report summarizing 124 results of the Phase I–IV sampling events. EPA documented 125 groundwater contamination in surficial Quaternary uncon-126 solidated alluvium attributable to numerous unlined pits used 127 for disposal of diesel-oil-based (invert) drilling mud and 128 production fluids including flowback, condensate, and 129 produced water prior to the mid-1990s. EPA⁹ also documented 130 injection of stimulation fluids into USDWs and concluded that 131 inorganic and organic geochemical anomalies at MW01 and 132 MW02 appeared to be attributable to production well 133 stimulation. EPA received numerous comments both challeng-134 ing and supporting its findings in the draft EPA report.^{10–37} We 135 reviewed and considered these comments when preparing this 136 manuscript.

A substantial amount of data has been collected since 138 publication of the 2011 draft EPA report, adding to an already 139 extensive data set. In April 2012 (Phase V) the EPA^{38,39} split 140 samples with the U.S. Geological Survey at MW01^{40,41} and 141 MW02.⁴² In 2014, the Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation 142 Commission (WOGCC) released a report on production well 143 integrity⁴³ and in 2015 released a report on surface pits.⁴⁴ In 144 December 2015, the Wyoming Department of Environmental 145 Quality (WDEQ) released a report on sample results of a 146 subset of domestic wells previously sampled by EPA.⁴⁵

We conducted a comprehensive analysis of all publicly 148 available online data and reports, to evaluate impact to USDWs 149 and usable water as a result of acid stimulation and hydraulic 150 fracturing. Although injection of stimulation fluids into USDWs 151 in the Pavillion Field was previously documented by EPA,⁹ the 152 potential impact to USDWs at depths of stimulation was not 153 assessed. We evaluate potential upward migration of con- 154 taminants to depths of current groundwater use using data from 155 MW01 and MW02. We also evaluate potential impact to 156 domestic wells as a result of legacy disposal of production and 157 drilling fluids in unlined pits. 158

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sources of EPA reports, versions of the Quality Assurance 160 Project Plan (QAPP), and Audits of Data Quality (ADQs) are 161 provided in Table SI H1. Sources of analytical data and 162 associated information on quality assurance and control are 163 summarized in Table SI H2. ADQs were conducted by EPA for 164 Phase I–IV investigations to verify the quality of analytical data 165 and consistency with requirements specified in the QAPP. 166

In response to a comprehensive information request by EPA 167 regarding oil and gas production and disposal activities in the 168 Pavillion Field, the field operator, Encana Oil & Gas (U.S.) Inc., 169 provided Material Safety and Data Sheets (MSDSs) of products 170 used for well stimulation to EPA⁴⁶ (Table SI C3). During the 171 Phase V sampling event, EPA developed a gas chromatography- 172

159

222

Table 1.	. Summary of Major Ion Concentra	ions of Domesti	c Wells in the	Wind River	Indian 1	Reservation ((WRIR),	Fremont
County,	WY, and within and around the Pa	villion Field						

	WRIR ^a			Fremont County ^b			within and around Pavillion Field^c		
parameter (mg/L)	n	median	range	n	median	range	n	median	range
TDS	154	490	211-5110	77	1030	248-5100	65	925 [†]	229 [†] -4901 [†]
Ca	149	10	1-486	77	45	1.7-380	48	50.8	3.32-452
Mg	128	2.2	0.1-195	77	8.2	0.095-99	45	5.32	0.024-147
Na	153	150	5-1500	77	285	4.5-1500	72	260	38.0-1290
K	149	2.0	0.2-30	77	2.45	0.1-30	43	1.36	0.179-10.5
SO_4	154	201	2-3250	77	510	12-3300	88	590	29.0-3640
Cl	154	14	2-466	77	20	3-420	48	21.1	2.60-77.6
F	154	0.7	0.1-8.8	76	0.9	0.2-4.9	46	0.88	0.20-4.1

"With the exception of potassium, from Daddow.⁴⁸ Information on potassium extracted from Daddow.⁵³ ^bFrom Plafcan et al.⁵¹ There is overlap of 19 sample results with Daddow.^{48,53} ^cMajor ion concentrations in domestic wells^{6,8,9,39,45,52} Summarized in Table SI B2. Mean values used for domestic wells sampled more than once. "Number of sample results. [†]TDS for EPA data estimated using linear regression equation from Daddow⁴⁸ TDS (mg/L) = 0.785 × specific conductance (μ s/cm) – 130 (n = 151, $r^2 = 0.979$)

173 flame ionization-based approach to obtain a lower reporting 174 limit (50 μ g/L) for methanol compared to commercial 175 laboratory analysis (5000 μ g/L). We obtained this data set as 176 the result of a Freedom of Information Act request to EPA.⁴⁷ We reviewed over 1000 publicly available well completion 177 178 reports, sundry notices, drilling reports, and cement bond and variable density logs accessed from the WOGCC Internet site 179 using API search numbers to determine dates of well 180 completion, depths of surface casing, top of original or primary 181 cement, and numbers and depths of cement squeeze jobs 182 (injection of cement through perforated production casing to 183 184 remediate or extend existing primary cement). Similarly, we 185 reviewed online information to document well stimulation practices summarized in Tables SI C1 and SI C2. 186

The field operator analyzed major ions in produced water 187 188 samples at 42 production wells in 2007 (Table SI D1). EPA 189 collected produced water samples at four production wells in 190 2010 and analyzed them for organic compounds (Table SI 191 D3).8 The field operator also conducted mechanical integrity 192 and bradenhead (annular space between production and 193 surface casing) testing between November 2011 and December 194 2012. In addition to sustained casing pressure at many 195 production wells during that period (Table SI D2), water 196 flowed through the bradenhead valve to the surface at four 197 production wells (SI Section D.3). Aqueous analysis of bradenhead water samples by the field operator was limited 198 to major ions (Table SI D1). Production well string and 199 200 brandenhead gas samples were collected for benzene, toluene, 201 ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX) and light hydrocarbons (Table 202 SI D2).

To evaluate the effect of purging volume on water quality, 203 204 EPA collected ten samples through time (Table SI 3a) during 205 the Phase V sampling event at MW01. Based on EPA's purging 206 procedure, we developed a model incorporating plug flow in casing and mixing in the screened interval (SI Section E.3, 207 Figure SI E4). Our simulations indicated that virtually all 2.08 (99.997%) of water entering the sampling train at the surface at 209 210 the time of the first sample collection at MW01 originated directly from the surrounding formation (i.e., no stagnant 211 212 casing water). MW02 was a low flow monitoring well (Figure SI E6). The cause of low flow is unknown but could be due to 213 214 several factors, including low relative aqueous permeability due 215 to gas flow or insufficient removal of drilling mud during well 216 development. During the Phase V sampling event, MW02 was 217 repeatedly purged over a 6-day period to ensure that sampled

water originated from the surrounding formation (SI Section 218 E.2, Figure SI E5). A discussion of monitoring well 219 construction, including schematics for MW01 (Figure SI E1) 220 and MW02 (Figure SI E2), is provided in SI Section E.1. 221

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Groundwater Resources in the Pavillion Area. The 223 Wind River and Fort Union Formations are variably saturated 224 fluvial depositional systems characterized by shale and fine-, 225 medium-, and coarse-grained sandstone sequences. Lithology is 226 highly variable and difficult to correlate from borehole data. No 227 laterally continuous confining layers of shale exist below the 228 maximum depth of groundwater use to retard upward solute 229 migration. A comprehensive review of regional and local 230 geology, including a lithologic cross-section in the vicinity of 231 MW01 and MW02 (Figure SI A4), is provided in SI Sections 232 A.1–A.6. 233

Domestic wells in the Pavillion area draw water from the 234 Wind River Formation—a major aquifer system in the 235 WRB.^{48,49} From the surface to approximately 30 m bgs, 236 groundwater exists under unconfined conditions.⁵⁰ Below this 237 depth, groundwater is present in lenticular, discontinuous, 238 confined sandstone units with water levels above hydrostatic 239 pressure, and in some instances flowing to the surface, 48,50,51 240 indicating the presence of strong localized upward gradients. 241 The majority of documented domestic well completions in 242 Fremont County⁵¹ and five municipal wells in the Town of 243 Pavillion⁵² west of the Field are completed in the Wind River 244 Formation. 245

Flow to the surface was observed in a domestic well during 246 the Phase II sampling event,⁶ and as mentioned, at four 247 production wells during bradenhead testing in 2012. While the 248 overall vertical groundwater gradient in the Pavillion Field is 249 downward, these observations indicate that localized upward 250 hydraulic gradients exist in the field, which is relevant to 251 potential upward solute migration from depths of production 252 well stimulation. The deepest domestic wells in the Pavillion 253 Field and immediate surrounding area are 229 and 322 m bgs, 254 respectively (Table SI B1). Two municipal wells were 255 proposed, but not drilled, in the Pavillion Field as replacement 256 water for domestic wells at depths of 305 m bgs,⁵² similar to the 257 depth of MW02 installed by EPA.

Major ion concentrations of domestic wells in the Pavillion 259 field (summarized in Table SI B2) are typical of the Wind River 260 Indian Reservation (WRIR),⁴⁸ west of the Pavillion Field, and 261 t1



Figure 2. (a) Elevation in absolute mean seal level (AMSL) and approximate depth below ground surface of documented acid and hydraulic fracturing stimulation stages. (b) Cumulative distribution of stimulation stages as a function of depth below deepest groundwater use in the Pavillion Field. Documentation of stimulation stages is absent at a number of production wells so that numbers presented here are a lower bound.

²⁶² in Fremont County,⁵¹ where the Pavillion Field lies, (Table 1) ²⁶³ with TDS levels <5000 mg/L. TDS concentrations in the Wind ²⁶⁴ River Formation appear to vary with lithology rather than depth ²⁶⁵ (white coarse sandstone associated with lower TDS values).⁵² ²⁶⁶ There are no apparent trends in TDS levels with depth from ²⁶⁷ data sets from the WRIR,⁵³ Fremont County,⁵¹ and domestic ²⁶⁸ wells in and around the Pavillion Field.

The Fort Union Formation is not used for water supply in 269 the Pavillion area. However, the formation is highly productive 270 and permeable where fractured⁴⁹ with TDS values from 1000 to 271 5000 mg/L.⁵⁴ An aquifer exemption was obtained to enable 272 disposal of produced water in a disposal well perforated in the 273 ort Union Formation⁵⁵ at a location 5.6 km northwest of the 274 avillion Field. Use of this well was suspended due to failure of 275 ell casing. Thus, the Wind River and Fort Union Formations 276 the Pavillion Field meet the regulatory definition of USDWs, 277 in explicitly stated by EPA,^{9,55} and of usable water as defined by 278 the BLM. 279

Well Stimulation Depths, Treatments, and Chemical 2.80 Additives. Exploration of oil and gas in the Pavillion Field 281 commenced in August 1953 with increasingly shallow 282 stimulations through time (Figure 2). The first acid stimulation 283 and hydraulic fracturing stages (injection over one or more 284 discrete intervals) occurred in June 1960 and October 1964, 285 respectively. Acid stimulation ceased in 2001. To date, the last 2.86 stimulation stage (hydraulic fracturing) occurred in April 2007. 2.87 288 Most production wells were completed and stimulated during 289 several periods of increased activity, especially after 1997

(Figure 2a). Acid stimulation and hydraulic fracturing occurred 290 as shallowly as 213 and 322 m bgs, respectively, at depths 291 comparable to deepest domestic groundwater use in the area 292 (Figure 2a). Approximately 10% of stimulation stages were 293 <250 m of deepest domestic groundwater use whereas 294 approximately 50% of stimulation stages were <600 m and 295 80% were <1 km of deepest domestic groundwater use (Figure 296 2b).

Surface casing of production wells—the primary line of 298 defense to protect groundwater during conventional and 299 unconventional oil and gas extraction—is relatively shallow in 300 the Pavillion field with a median depth of 185 m bgs (i.e., 301 shallower than the deepest groundwater use) and range of 302 100–706 m bgs (Figure SI C1). There is no primary cement 303 below surface casing, often for hundreds of meters, for 55 of 304 106 (~52%) production wells for which cement bond logs are 305 available (Table SI C1, Figure SI CI). There is currently no 306 requirement in Wyoming for placement of primary cement to 307 surface casing or to ground surface.⁴⁵ 308

Instantaneous shut in pressures (ISIP) (wellhead gauge 309 pressure immediately following fracture treatment) were similar 310 for acid stimulation and hydraulic fracturing (Figure SI C2) 311 suggesting that both matrix acidizing and acid fracturing (no 312 proppants used⁵⁶) occurred in the Pavillion Field. Acidizing 313 solutions used in the Pavillion Field typically consisted of a 314 71/2% or 15% hydrochloric acid solution plus additives 315 described in well completion reports as inhibitors, surfactants, 316 diverters, iron sequestration agents, mutual solvents, and clay 317



Figure 3. Box and whisker plots of minimum and maximum, quartiles, median (line in boxes), mean (crosses in boxes) of (a) Na, (b) K, (c) Cl, (d) SO_4 for domestic wells inventoried by Daddow^{48,53} and Plafcan⁵¹ in the Wind River Indian Reservation and Fremont County, respectively, sampled by EPA^{6,8,9,39} and WDEQ⁴⁵ (PGDWXX series) greater than and less than 1 km from a production well, Wyoming Water Development Commission⁵² (WWDC series) greater than 1 km from a production well, EPA monitoring wells^{9,39} (Tables SI E2b, SI E3b), and produced water and bradenhead water samples (Table SI D1). Domestic wells sampled more than once, including data from Daddow,⁵³ are represented with a mean value. Fourteen measurements in Daddow⁵³ < 1 mg/L for potassium are not illustrated. Data points at MW01 and MW02 are samples collected during Phase III, IV, and V sample events.

318 stabilizers. Acidizing solutions were often flushed with a 2, 4, or 319 6% potassium chloride (KCl) solution. Pad acid, to initiate 320 fractures, contained 10–50% heavy aromatic petroleum naptha. 321 Corrosion inhibitors contained isopropanol and propargyl 322 alcohol. Clay stabilizers contained methanol. Musol solvents 323 used for acid stimulation consisted of 60–100% 2-butoxyetha-324 nol and 10–30% oxylated alcohol (Table SI C3).

Prior to 1999, "salt solutions" were commonly used for 325 hydraulic fracturing. After 1999, a 6% KCl solution was used 326 extensively for hydraulic fracturing often combined with CO₂ 327 foam, with subsequent flushing using a 6% KCl solution. There 328 were reported losses of KCl solutions during stimulation (e.g., 329 Tribal Pavillion 12-13 "lost thousands of bbls KCl"). at 330 Undiluted diesel fuel was used for hydraulic fracturing at three 331 production wells before 1985. From the mid-1970s through 332 2007, there was widespread use of gelled fracture fluids (gelled 333 water, linear gel, and cross-linked gel). Diesel fuel #2 was used 334 for liquid gel concentrates (Table SI C3). Ammonium chloride, 335 336 potassium hydroxide, potassium metaborate, and a zirconium 337 complex were used as cross-linkers.

Gelled fracture fluids were used extensively with CO₂ foam 338 (Table SI C4). Between 2001 and 2005, "WF-125" was used 339 with CO₂ foam (often with a 6% KCl solution) for hydraulic 340 fracturing (Table SI C5). A stimulation report (one of only 341 three publicly available throughout the operating history of the 342 Field) and MSDSs indicate that WF-125 contained diesel fuel 343 #2, 2-butoxyethanol, isopropanol, ethoxylated linear alcohols, 344 ethanol, and methanol. During 2001, WF-125 and unidentified 345 product mixtures were used with a 6% KCl and a 10% methanol 346 solution and CO₂ foam for hydraulic fracturing followed with a 347 6% KCl and 10% methanol solution flush. Other WF-series 348 compound mixtures of unknown composition were also used 349 with CO₂ foam and in some cases with N₂ gas. Methanol, 350 isopropanol, glycols, and 2-butoxyethanol were used in foaming 351 agents (Table SI C3). Ethoxylated linear alcohols, isopropanol, 352 methanol, 2-butoxyethanol, heavy aromatic petroleum naptha, 353 naphthalene, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene were used in 354 surfactants (Table SI C3). Slickwater (commonly with a 6% 355 KCl solution) was used for hydraulic fracturing with and 356 without CO₂ foam in 2004 and 2005, respectively (Table SI 357 C6). 358

At least 41.5 million liters (or ~11 million gallons) of fluid 360 was used for well stimulation in the Pavillion Field (calculated 361 from Table SI C2). Given lack of information at numerous 362 production wells, this is an underestimate of actual cumulative 363 stimulation volume. The cumulative volume of well stimulation 364 in closely spaced vertical wells in the Pavillion Field is 365 characteristic of high volume hydraulic fracturing in shale 366 units.⁵⁷ In evaluating solute attenuation in USDWs, EPA⁴ did 367 not consider cumulative volumes of injection of well 368 stimulation fluids in closely spaced vertical production wells 369 common to CBM and tight gas production.

Evaluation of Impact to USDWs and Usable Water. In 370 371 the Pavillion Field, impact to USDWs and usable waters depends upon the advective-dispersive solute transport of 372 compounds (or their degradation products) used for well 373 stimulation to water-bearing units (sandstone units at or near 374 water saturation). Water-bearing units exist throughout the 375 Wind River and Fort Union Formations in the Pavillion Field. 376 377 For instance, production well Unit 41X-10 was recommended for plugging and abandonment in 1980 because of "problems 378 with water production and casing failure." In 1980, drilling logs 379 380 at Tribal Pavillion 14-2 stated "Hit water flow while drilling at 381 4105-4109 ft" bgs. The magnitude of produced water 382 production in the Pavillion Field is variable with some wells 383 having high produced water production (e.g., 17.9 million liters ~4.7 million gallons at Tribal Pavillion 23-10 from July 2000 384 385 to present) (Table SI C2). In some cases, stimulation fluids 386 were injected directly into water bearing units. For instance, at 387 Tribal Pavillion 14-1, a cast iron bridge plug was used to stop water production in 1993 from an interval where hydraulic 388 389 fracturing occurred using undiluted diesel fuel in 1964 (Table 390 SI C2).

The migration of stimulation fluid to water-bearing sand-391 392 stone units in the Pavillion Field also likely occurred during 393 fracture propagation and subsequent leakoff (loss of fluid into a 394 formation in or near the target stratum). Leakoff increases in 395 complex fracture networks as a result of lithologic variation over 396 short distances and contact of stimulation fluid with permeable ³⁹⁷ strata⁵⁸⁻⁶¹ expected during hydraulic fracturing in fluvial 398 depositional environments of the Wind River and Fort Union 399 Formations. Leakoff can remove much or most of the fracturing 400 fluid even for moderate sized induced fractures.^{58,59} Maximum ISIP values for acid stimulation and hydraulic fracturing were 401 402 19.5 and 40.1 MPa (Figure SI C2), respectively, equivalent to 403 ~2000 and ~4100 m of hydraulic head. Pressure buildup 404 during hydraulic fracturing far in excess of drawdown expected 405 during produced water extraction makes full recovery of 406 stimulation fluids unlikely.^{4,62}

⁴⁰⁷ The migration of stimulation fluids to water-bearing units ⁴⁰⁸ also likely occurred as a result of loss of zonal isolation during ⁴⁰⁹ well stimulation (SI Section D.1). Casing failure occurred at ⁴¹⁰ five production wells following well stimulation. Cement ⁴¹¹ squeezes were performed above primary cement often days ⁴¹² after hydraulic fracturing without explanation⁶³ at six ⁴¹³ production wells, potentially because of migration of ⁴¹⁴ stimulation fluid above primary cement. At one production ⁴¹⁵ well, stimulation fluid was injected just 4 m below an interval ⁴¹⁶ lacking cement outside of the production casing with a ⁴¹⁷ stimulation pressure of only 1.3 MPa indicating potential ⁴¹⁸ entry into the annular space.

⁴¹⁹ Major ion concentrations in produced water sampled after ⁴²⁰ stimulation (Table SI D1) were distinct from values expected in ⁴²¹ the Wind River Formation as evidenced by sample data from the WRIR,^{48,53} Fremont County,⁵¹ and domestic wells in and 422 around the Pavillion Field which were representative of the 423 Wind River Formation regardless of distance from production 424 wells (Table 1, Figure 3). Using combined data sets in and 425 f3 around the Pavillion Field, and the nonparametric Mann- 426 Whitney test (null hypothesis that two sample sets come from 427 the same population), sodium, potassium, and chloride 428 concentrations were higher and sulfate concentrations lower 429 in produced water compared to concentrations expected in the 430 Wind River Formation $(p = 6.6 \times 10^{-19}, 2.1 \times 10^{-15}, 2.6 \times 431)$ 10^{-16} , and 4.4×10^{-19} , respectively), providing direct evidence 432 of impact to USDWs at depths of stimulation. Also, potassium 433 increased with calcium concentrations and sulfate increased 434 with TDS concentrations, respectively, in domestic wells but 435 not in production wells (Figures SI D1). Chloride is a major 436 component of TDS concentrations in production wells. 437 Potassium/calcium and chloride/sulfate concentration ratios 438 were higher in production wells than in domestic wells (Figures 439 SI D2), further indicating anomalous potassium, chloride, and 440 sulfate concentrations in production wells. 441

Produced water samples were collected from gas–water 442 separators at four production wells and analyzed for organic 443 compounds (Table SI D3, Figure SI D3) during the Phase II 444 sampling event.⁶ Samples from one production well appeared 445 to be from both an aqueous and an apparent nonaqueous phase 446 liquid with the latter exhibiting thousands of mg/L of benzene, 447 toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX). Synthetic organic 448 compounds methylene chloride and triethylene glycol (TEG) 449 were detected in produced water samples at 0.51 and 17.8 mg/ 450 L, respectively indicating anthropogenic origin. Methylene 451 chloride has been detected in flowback water in other 452 systems,⁶⁴ including 122 domestic wells above the Barnett 453 Shale TX,⁶⁵ and in air sampled near well sites.⁶⁶

Sample Results at MW01 and MW02. Concentrations of 455 potassium in MW01 and MW02 were higher than expected 456 values in the Wind River Formation (Figure 3) at p-values of 457 2.6×10^{-13} and 1.2×10^{-06} , respectively. High pH values (>11 458 standard units) were observed during purging at both 459 monitoring wells (Tables SI E3b, SI E4b, Figures SI E5, SI 460 E6, SI E7), indicating that elevated potassium concentrations 461 may have been attributable to release of potassium from 462 potassium oxides and sulfates during curing of cement⁶⁷⁻⁷¹ 463 used for monitoring well construction. However, a number of 464 observations were inconsistent with cement interaction as a 465 causative factor for elevated pH, and there was extensive use of 466 compounds containing potassium including potassium hydrox- 467 ide during stimulation (Table SI C3). Water in contact with 468 hydrating cement is saturated or oversaturated to portlandite 469 $(Ca(OH_2))^{72-74}$ and remains oversaturated prior to degrada- 470 tion or carbonation.^{75–78} In contrast, water from monitoring 471 wells was highly undersaturated to portlandite. Elevated pH in 472 monitoring wells was not observed during monitoring well 473 development until natural gas intrusion occurred in the wells, 474 suggesting degassing as a possible cause of elevated pH (SI 475 Section E.5). Also, potassium was detected at a concentration 476 of 6000 mg/L in a bradenhead water sample having a pH of 477 10.86 standard units from Tribal Pavillion 13-1 (Table SI D1). 478 This may indicate either high potassium concentration at 479 depths below EPA monitoring wells due to well stimulation 480 (water from bradenhead samples originated at some unknown 481 distance above cement outside production casing at each 482 production well) or interaction of bradenhead water with 483 wellbore cement. 484



Figure 4. Summary of organic compounds detected by EPA in MW01 and MW02 during Phase III, IV, and V sampling events. Glycols, alcohols, and low molecular weight organic acids were not analyzed in Phase III. Alkylphenols and methanol (GC-FID method) were only analyzed in Phase V. Organic compounds detections for MW01 and MW02 are summarized in Table SI E3a and Table SI E4a, respectively.

The median chloride concentration at MW02 was 469 mg/L 485 (Figure 3), well above expected values in the Wind River 486 Formation $(p = 7.0 \times 10^{-07})$. Compounds containing chlorides 487 (e.g., KCl solutions) were used extensively for stimulation in 488 the Pavillion Field. Sulfate concentrations in MW02 were below 489 490 expected values in the Wind River Formation ($p = 2.7 \times 10^{-07}$) and not dissimilar (p = 0.40) to produced water concentrations. 491 492 The Cl/SO₄ concentration ratio was similar to produced water 493 (Figure SI D2) at MW02. Chloride and sulfate concentrations 494 in MW01 were more typical of the Wind River Formation 495 which may be due variation in well stimulation practices both 496 spatially and over time.

f4 f4

Concentrations of organic compounds detected in MW01 497 and MW02 are summarized in Tables SI E3a, SI E4a and Figure 498 4. Diesel range organics (DRO) and gasoline range organics 499 500 (GRO) were detected in MW01 and MW02 with maximum 501 DRO concentrations of 924 and 4200 μ g/L, respectively and 502 GRO concentrations of 760 and 5290 μ g/L, respectively. 503 Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, m,p-xylenes, and o-xylene were detected in MW02 at maximum concentrations of 247, 677, 504 505 101, 973, and 253 μ g/L, respectively, but were not detected at 506 MW01. The maximum contaminant level (MCL) of benzene is $_{507}$ 5 μ g/L, so the observed maximum value was 50 times higher 508 than the MCL. Nondetection of BTEX at MW01 is surprising 509 given that the well was gas-charged (foaming during sampling, 510 Figure SI E9) with similar light hydrocarbon composition to 511 MW02 (Table SI E5). Nondetection of BTEX may be due to 512 increased dispersion and biodegradation of these compounds at 513 the shallower depth of this well. We could find no published 514 information on BTEX compounds in groundwater at 515 concentrations detected in MW02 occurring above a gas field 516 in the absence of well stimulation. However, further testing, 517 such as compound specific isotope analysis of BTEX 518 components present in natural gas from the Pavillion Field

(Table SI D2) and water from MW02, is necessary to attribute 519 detection of BTEX to well stimulation. 520

1,3,5-, 1,2,4-, and 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene were detected at 521 maximum concentrations of 71.4, 148, and 45.8 μ g/L, 522 respectively in MW02 and at an order of magnitude lower 523 concentrations in MW01. Naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, 524 and alkylbenzenes were also detected in MW02 at concen- 525 trations up to 7.9, 10.2, and 21.2 μ g/L, respectively. Similar to 526 BTEX compounds, detection of trimethylbenzenes, alkylben- 527 zenes, and naphthalenes could in principle reflect non- 528 anthropogenic origin but natural gas from the Pavillion Field 529 and in EPA monitoring wells is "dry" (ratio of methane to 530 methane through pentane concentration >0.95) (SI Section 531 A.2, Table SI E5). Also, oil production in the vicinity of 532 monitoring wells is very low or zero especially in the vicinity of 533 MW02 (Table SI C2, Figure SI A5). Thus, the detection of 534 higher molecular weight hydrocarbons in groundwater is 535 unexpected. Trimethylbenzenes and naphthalenes were present 536 in mixtures used for well stimulation (Table SI C3). 537

Other organic compounds used extensively for well 538 stimulation were detected in MW01 and MW02 (Figure 4). 539 Methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol were detected in 540 monitoring wells at up to 863, 28.4, and 862 μ g/L, respectively 541 (Figure 4). *Tert*-butyl alcohol (TBA) was detected at 6120 μ g/ 542 L in MW02. Detection of TBA in groundwater has been 543 associated with degradation of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide used for 544 hydraulic fracturing.⁷⁹ Another potential source of TBA is 545 degradation of methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) associated with 546 diesel fuel.^{80–84} 547

Diethylene glycol (DEG) and TEG were detected in both 548 monitoring wells at maximum concentrations of 226 and 12.7 549 μ g/L, respectively, in MW01, and at 1570 and 310 μ g/L 550 respectively, in MW02 (Figure 4). Tetraethylene glycol was 551 detected only in MW02 at 27.2 μ g/L. MSDSs indicate that 552



Figure 5. (a) Box and whisker plots of minimum and maximum, quartiles, median (line in boxes), mean (crosses in boxes) of diesel range organics (DRO) in shallow monitoring wells near unlined pits potentially receiving production fluids (abbreviations of production wells in Table SI C1) and domestic wells^{6,8,9,39,45} (LD-20 and PGDWXX series) less than and greater than 600 m from pits. Mean values are used for domestic well sampled more than once. (b) DRO as a function of elevation and approximate depth below surface for domestic wells with results of multiple sample events illustrated.

553 DEG was used for well stimulation. Use of TEG was not 554 specified. Polar organic compounds, including DEG, are 555 commonly used as cement grinding agents.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁸ DEG and TEG have been detected in leachate from cured cement 556 557 samples under static (no flow) conditions.⁸⁹ Similar to elevated potassium detection, it is possible that detection of glycols 558 559 could be attributable to cement used for monitoring well 560 construction. However, mass flux scenario modeling, com-561 monly used to evaluate potential concentrations of exposure of 562 compounds released from materials in contact with drinking water under dynamic (flowing) conditions,⁹⁰ was conducted on 563 564 MW01 (SI Section E.7) indicating unlikely impact. The 565 relevance of dynamic testing is corroborated by the observation 566 that detection of DEG and TEG was limited to a water sample 567 from a gas production well⁹¹ with nondetection in water samples from 83 domestic wells at five retrospective study 568 sites^{79,91-94} using high performance liquid chromatography 569 with dual mass spectrometry at a reporting limit 5 μ g/L in 570 EPA's national study on hydraulic fracturing. 2-Butoxyethanol, 571 glycol ether used extensively for well stimulation in the а 572 Pavillion Field (Table SI C3), was detected in both monitoring 573 wells at a maximum concentration of 12.7 μ g/L. 2-574 575 Butoxyethanol was not detected in leachate from cured cement.⁸⁹. 576

⁵⁷⁷ The low molecular weight organic acids (LMWOAs) lactate, ⁵⁷⁸ formate, acetate, and propionate were detected in both ⁵⁷⁹ monitoring wells at maximum concentrations of 253, 584, ⁵⁸⁰ 8050, and 844 μ g/L, respectively (Figure 4). LMWOAs are ⁵⁸¹ anaerobic degradation products associated with hydrocarbon ⁵⁸² contamination in groundwater.^{95,96} Acetate has been detected ⁵⁸³ in produced water,^{97–99} in impoundments used to hold ⁵⁸⁴ flowback water from the Marcellus Shale,¹⁰⁰ and in produced ⁵⁸⁵ water from the Denver-Julesburg Basin, CO.¹⁰¹ Acetate and formate were detected in flowback water from two different 586 fracturing sites in Germany with investigators concluding that 587 these compounds were likely of anthropogenic origin resulting 588 from degradation of polymers used in the fracturing fluid.¹⁰² 589 Formate and acetate are also degradation products of 590 methylene chloride.¹⁰³ Benzoic acid, a degradation product of 591 aromatics, was also detected in both monitoring wells at a 592 maximum concentration of 513 μ g/L. 593

Phenols were detected in both monitoring wells with 594 maximum concentrations of phenol, 2-methylphenol, 3&4- 595 methylphenol, and 2,4-dimethylphenol at MW02 at 32.7, 22.2, 596 39.8, and 46.3 μ g/L, respectively. Ketones were also detected in 597 both monitoring wells with maximum concentrations of 598 acetone, 2-butanone (MEK), and 4-methyl-2-pentanone 599 (MIBK) at MW02 at 1460, 208, and 12.5 μ g/L, respectively. 600 Acetone, MEK, phenol, 2-methylphenol, 3&4 methylphenol, 601 and 2,4-dimethylphenol were detected in produced water from 602 the Denver-Julesburg Basin.¹⁰¹ MIBK, MEK, and acetone may 603 result from microbial degradation of biopolymers used for 604 hydraulic fracturing.¹⁰¹ Nonylphenol and octylphenol, com-605 monly present in mixtures of ethoxlyated alcohols, were 606 detected in both monitoring wells with maximum concen-607 trations at MW02 at 28 and 2.9 μ g/L, respectively. Ethoxlyated 608 alcohols were used for well stimulation in the Pavillion Field. 609

Detection of organic compounds, especially those that 610 cannot be attributed to cement, and degradation products of 611 compounds known to have been used for production well 612 stimulation in both MW01 and MW02 provide additional 613 evidence of impact to USDWs and indicate upward solute 614 migration to depths of current groundwater use. Installation of 615 additional monitoring wells at depths similar to MW02, with 616 sample analysis supplemented by state-of-the-art analytical 617 methods better suited to detection of compounds present in 618 619 stimulation fluids (e.g., liquid chromatography coupled with 620 quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶), is neces-621 sary to evaluate long-term risk to domestic well users in the 622 Pavillion Field.

Assessment of Potential Impact of Unlined Pits to 623 624 Domestic Wells. EPA⁷ previously reported disposal of diesel 625 fuel-based (invert) drilling mud and production fluids (flow-626 back, condensate, produced water) in unlined pits in the 627 Pavillion Field and resultant groundwater contamination in 628 surficial Quaternary deposits in shallow monitoring wells 629 sampled by EPA in the vicinity of three unlined pits but did 630 not document the extent of these disposal practices. At least 64 631 unlined pits were used for disposal of drilling fluids of which 632 invert mud was disposed in 57 pits consisting of up to 79% diesel fuel (Tables SI F1, SI F2). As many as 44 of 64 unlined 633 pits were used or likely used for disposal of production fluids. 634 Unlined pits were emptied and closed in 1995.^{107,108} 635

A summary of information available on disposal of drilling 636 637 and production fluids in pits is provided in Table SI F2. This summary includes results of soil and groundwater sampling, 638 excavation volumes and associated criteria (1000-8500 mg/kg 639 640 total petroleum hydrocarbons), proximity and direction of 641 unlined pits to domestic wells, and recommendations by $WOGCC^{44}$ for further investigation (or no investigation). 642

The field operator has collected groundwater samples in 643 surficial Quaternary deposits at 12 unlined pit locations.⁴⁴ The 644 645 highest reported concentrations of GRO and DRO were 91 000 646 and 78 000 μ g/L, respectively (Figure 5, Table SI F2). Benzene, 647 toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were detected at five 648 locations at concentrations up to 1960, 250, 240, and 1200 649 μ g/l, respectively (Table SI F2). Thus, sample results indicate 650 impact to surficial groundwater in Quaternary deposits.

There may be as many as 48 domestic wells within 600 m of 651 652 unlined pits of which 22 domestic wells were sampled by 653 EPA^{6,8,9,39} and 11 were resampled by WDEQ⁴⁵ (Table SI F3). 654 DRO concentrations in domestic wells <600 m from unlined 655 pits likely receiving production fluids were elevated (p = 0.003) 656 compared to domestic wells >600 m from unlined pits (Figure 657 5a). DRO was detected at 752 mg/kg in a reverse osmosis filter 658 sample from a domestic well (PGDW20) during the Phase II 659 sampling event⁸ (Table SI F3). Concentrations of DRO in 660 domestic wells generally decreased with depth (Figure 5b). 661 Another potential source of DRO in some domestic wells (Table SI G1) is invert mud remaining in boreholes. However, 662 663 differentiation from other source terms (unlined pits and stimulation) is not possible with currently available data (SI 664 665 Section G.1).

At two domestic wells (PGDW05 and PGDW30), chromato-666 667 grams for DRO analysis suggest a diesel fuel source (Figure SI 668 F1a, b). Chromatograms of aqueous (Figure SI F2a) and 669 carbon trap samples (Figure SI F2b) for DRO at another 670 domestic well (PGDW20) indicated the presence of heavy 671 hydrocarbons in water. All three domestic wells are located near 672 unlined pits likely used for disposal of production fluids.

Adamantanes were detected at low aqueous concentrations 673 (<5 μ g/L) at four domestic wells (PGDW05, PGDW20, 674 675 PGDW30, and PGDW32) (Table SI F3). Admantane, 2-methyl 676 adamantane, and 1,3-dimethyladamantane were detected in a 677 reverse osmosis filter sample at PGDW20 at concentrations of 678 420, 9400, and 2960 μ g/kg, respectively. Adamantanes were 679 detected in produced water up to 74 mg/L (Table SI D3) 680 indicating disposal in unlined pits as a potential source term. 681 The inherent molecular stability of admantanes and other

diamondoid compounds imparts thermal stability resulting in 682 enrichment in manufactured petroleum distillates.¹⁰⁹ Diamond- 683 oids are resistant to biodegradation^{110,111} resulting in their use 684 as a fingerprinting tool to characterize petroleum and 685 condensate induced groundwater contamination.¹¹² 686

2-Butoxyethanol was detected at 3300 μ g/L in a domestic 687 well (PGDW33)⁴⁵ (Table SI F3). The depth of this domestic 688 well is only 9.1 m bgs and is located within 134 m of an unlined 689 pit used for disposal of production fluids. Other compounds, 690 including BTEX, associated with production well stimulation 691 (e.g., isopropanol) were detected at lower concentrations (<10 692 μ g/L) in other domestic wells (Table SI F3). Sample results at 693 domestic wells suggest impact from unlined pits and the 694 immediate need for further investigation including installation 695 of monitoring wells in the Wind River Formation. Since flood 696 irrigation is common in the vicinity of unlined pit areas, the 697 lateral extent of groundwater contamination is potentially 698 greater in the Wind River Formation than in overlying surficial 699 Quaternary deposits due to "plume diving" (i.e., uncontami- 700 nated water overlies portions of a contaminant plume).¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ 701

Our investigation highlights several important issues related 702 to impact to groundwater from unconventional oil and gas 703 extraction. We have, for the first time, demonstrated impact to 704 USDWs as a result of hydraulic fracturing. Given the high 705 frequency of injection of stimulation fluids into USDWs to 706 support CBM extraction and unknown frequency in tight gas 707 formations, it is unlikely that impact to USDWs is limited to the 708 Pavillion Field requiring investigation elsewhere. 709

Second, well stimulation in the Pavillion Field occurred many 710 times less than 500 m from ground surface and, in some cases, 711 at or very close to depths of deepest domestic groundwater use 712 in the area. Shallow hydraulic fracturing poses greater risks than 713 deeper fracturing does,^{57,116} especially in the presence of well 714 integrity issues^{117,118} as documented here in the Pavillion Field. 715 Additional investigations elsewhere are needed. 716

Finally, while disposal of production fluids in unlined pits is a 717 legacy issue in Wyoming, this practice has nevertheless caused 718 enduring groundwater contamination in the Pavillion Field. 719 Impact to groundwater from unlined pits is unlikely to have 720 occurred only in the Pavillion Field, necessitating investigation 721 elsewhere. 722

ASSOCIATED CONTENT 723 Supporting Information 724

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 725 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.5b04970. 726

Supplemental discussion and tables summarizing data 727 sets are provided in the Supporting Information (SI) 728 portion of the paper (PDF) 729

AUTHOR INFORMATION	730
Corresponding Author	731

*Phone: 580-279-9283; e-mail: ddigiuli@stanford.edu.	732
Notes	733
The authors declare no competing financial interest.	734

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 735

We thank Stanford University's School of Earth, Energy, and 736 Environmental Sciences, the Precourt Institute for Energy, and 737 the Woods Institute for the Environment for supporting this 738 research. We also thank John Wilson of Scissortail Environ- 739 mental Solutions, LLC, Mary Kang of Stanford University, 740

741 Anthony Ingraffea of Cornell University, and seven anonymous 742 reviewers for helpful comments on earlier drafts of this paper.

REFERENCES 743

(1) U.S. Energy Information Administration. Annual Energy Outlook 744 745 2015 with Projections to 2040; Office of Integrated and International 746 Energy Analysis, U.S. Department of Energy: Washington, DC, April 747 2015; http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/pdf/0383(2015).pdf.

(2) U.S. Energy Information Administration. Annual Energy Outlook 748 749 2012 with Projections to 2035; Office of Integrated and International 750 Energy Analysis, U.S. Department of Energy: Washington, DC, June 751 2012; http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/pdf/0383(2012).pdf.

(3) U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming. Order on 752 753 Motions for Preliminary Injunction, Case No. 2:15-CV-043-SWS. 754 Petitioners: States of Wyoming, State of Colorado and Intervenor-755 Petitioners: State of North Dakota, State of Utah, and Ute Indian 756 Tribe vs. U.S. Department of the Interior. Respondents, Sierra Club, 757 Earthworks, Western Resource Advocates, Conservation Colorado 758 Education Fund, the Wilderness Society and Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance. Intervenor-Respondents: Independent Petroleum 759 760 Association of American and Western Energy Alliance. Filed 761 September 30, 2015; http://www.wyd.uscourts.gov/pdfforms/ 762 orders/15-cv-043%20130%20order.pdf (accessed 3/1/2016).

763 (4) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Evaluation of Impacts to 764 Underground Source of Drinking Water by Hydraulic Fracturing of 765 Coalbed Methane Reservoirs, Office of Water, Office of Ground Water 766 and Drinking Water (4606M), EPA 816-R-04-003, June 2004.

(5) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Permitting Guidance for 767 Oil and Gas Hydraulic Fracturing Activities Using Diesel Fuels: 768 769 Underground Injection Control Program Guidance #84, Office of Water, EPA 816-R-14-001, February 2014. 770

(6) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Site Inspection -771 772 Analytical Results Report Pavillion Area Groundwater Investigation Site, 773 Pavillion, Fremont County, Wyoming, CERCLIS ID# WYN000802735, 774 URS Operating Services, Inc., START 3, EPA Region 8, Contract No. 775 EP-W-05-050, August 2009. http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/ 776 files/documents/Pavillion GWInvestigationARRTextAndMaps.pdf.

(7) Folger, P.; Tiemann, M.; Bearden, D. M. The Draft Report of 777 Groundwater Contamination Near Pavillion, Congressional Research 778 779 Service, R42327; Main Findings and Stakeholders Responses, WY, 780 January 26, 2012.

(8) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Expanded Site Inves-781 782 tigation – Analytical Results Report Pavillion Area Groundwater 783 Investigation, Fremont County, START 3, EPA Region 8, Contract 784 No. EP-W-05-050; Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team: WY, August 30, 2010. http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/ 785 786 files/documents/PavillionAnalyticalResultsReport.pdf.

(9) DiGiulio, D. C., Wilkin, R. T., Miller C., Oberley, G. Investigation 787 788 of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming - Draft 789 Report; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and 790 Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Ada, 791 OK and Region 8, Denver CO, December 2011 http://www2.epa. gov/region8/draft-investigation-ground-water-contamination-near-792

pavillion-wyoming. (10) Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. Four page 794 question sheet submitted to EPA at Stakeholders meeting in Riverton, WY, 795 796 November 30, 2011. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/pavillionworkinggrp/ 797 PWG Meeting Part B 11302011.pdf.

793

798 (11) Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. Moving 799 Forward in Evaluating Contamination in the Pavillion Gas Field. 800 Presented to EPA at Stakeholders meeting in Riverton, WY, November 801 30, 2011. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/pavillionworkinggrp/PWG 802 Meeting Part B 11302011.pdf.

(12) Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. Evaluating 803 804 Potential Sources of Contamination in the Pavillion Gas Field. Presented to 805 EPA at Stakeholders meeting in Riverton, WY, November 30, 2011. 806 http://wogcc.state.wy.us/pavillionworkinggrp/PWG Meeting Part 807 B 11302011.pdf.

(13) Wyoming Water Development Office. Review of U.S. EPA Deep 808 Monitoring Well Laboratory Data (Phase III and Phase IV) and Well 809 Construction and Development Data (2010-2011) Pavillion Area, 810 Fremont County, Wyoming, Chevenne, Wyoming, November 29, 811 2011. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/pavillionworkinggrp/PWG Meeting 812 Part B 11302011.pdf. 813

(14) Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. Letter to James Martin, EPA 814 Region 8 Administrator from John Schoup, re: EPA Pavillion Field 815 Investigation, December 21, 2011. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/ 816 pavillionworkinggrp/ 817

Encana%20ltr%20to%20James%20Martin%20EPA%2012212011.pdf. 818 (15) Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. Letter to James Martin, EPA 819 Region 8 Administrator from John Schoup, re: EPA Pavillion Field 820 Investigation, December 22, 2011. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/ 821 pavillionworkinggrp/ 822

Encana%20ltr%20to%20James%20Martin%20EPA%2012222011.pdf. 823 (16) Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. Letter to James Martin, EPA 824 Region 8 Administrator from John Schoup, re: Testimony during Hearing 825 before the House Subcommittee on energy and Environment, February 7, 826 2012 82.7

(17) Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. Initial Comments on U.S. 828 Environmental Protection Agency Draft Report "Investigation of Ground 829 Water Contamination Near Pavillion, Wyoming" (December 2011), EPA 830 Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2011-0895, April 18, 2012. . 831

(18) Itasca Denver, Inc. Review of the United States Environmental 832 Protection Agency DRAFT Report Entitled "Investigation of Ground Water 833 Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming", EPA Docket ID No. EPA- 834 HQ-ORD-2011-0895; Prepared for Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc., 835 Denver CO, March 2012. 836

(19) Itasca Denver, Inc. Evaluation of Groundwater-Quality Data 837 Collected by the USEPA and USGS during Phase V Sampling of Wells - 838 Pavillion Area. Prepared for Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc., Denver 839 CO, January 10, 2013. 840

(20) Newfields Denver, CO. Critical Review of ATSDR's Health 841 Consultation: Evaluation of Contaminants in Private Residential Well 842 Water, Pavillion, Wyoming (August 31, 2010), November 2011. 843

(21) Stimulation Petrophysics Consulting, LLC. A Review and 844 Evaluation of EPA's Drilling and Cement Jobs for EPA's MW01 and 845 MW02 Monitoring Wells in the Pavillion Field, Wyoming, EPA Docket 846 ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2011-0895; Prepared for Encana Oil & Gas 847 (USA) Inc., April 2012. . 848

(22) American Petroleum Institute. API's Review Shows EPA's 849 Monitoring Wells at Pavillion, Wyoming are Improperly Constructed 850 and Unsuitable for Groundwater Quality Assessment. 2012 http://www. 851 api.org/~/media/Files/Policy/Hydraulic Fracturing/Pavillion- 852 reviews/API-Fact-Sheet-on-Pavillion-Monitoring-Wells-2013.pdf. 853

(23) American Petroleum Institute. API's Review of Recent USGS 854 Pavillion, Wyoming. Reports Show USGS Groundwater Sampling Results 855 Differ from EPA's Results in 2011 Draft Report. October 2012. http:// 856 www.api.org/~/media/Files/News/2012/12-October/Pavilion 857 Review v4.pdf. 858

(24) American Petroleum Institute. Comments of the American 859 Petroleum Institute on EPA's Notice of Extension of the Public Comment 860 Period of the draft Research Report titled, "Investigations of Ground Water 861 Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming." (78 Federal Register 2396) - 862 Docket ID No. EPA-HQORD-2011-0895, July 1, 2013. http://www. 863 api.org/~/media/files/policy/hydraulic_fracturing/pavillion-reviews/ 864 13-july-1-api-letter-to-epa-docket-on-draft-pavillion-report.pdf. 865

(25) American Petroleum Institute. American Petroleum Institute's 866 Review of EPA's Pavillion December 8, 2011Draft Report with Focus on 867 Monitoring Well Drilling, Completion, Development, And Sampling 868 Activities Related to Deep Monitoring Wells MW-01 and MW-02. 869 Prepared by: The American Petroleum Institute. 1220 L Street, NW, 870 Washington, DC, June 25, 2013; 20005-4070, http://www.api.org/ 871 ~/media/Files/Policy/Hydraulic Fracturing/Pavillion-reviews/API- 872 Report-on-Pavillion-WY.pdf. 873

(26) Gradient. Review of US EPA and USGS Groundwater Sampling 874 Data Reports Pavillion, Wyoming, Prepared for Halliburton Energy 875 Services, Inc., P.O. Box 42806, Houston, TX, August 1, 2013; pp 876 877 77242–2806, http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/ 878 290F553A676E920885257C2400694519/\$File/11+-879 +Gradient+Review+of+Pavillion+Sampling+Data+Reports+2013.pdf.

(27) Gradient and Environmental Resources Management. *Review of*

881 US EPA's "Draft Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near
882 Pavillion, Wyoming"; Prepared for Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.:
883 Houston, TX, June 25, 2012. EPA Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD884 2011–0895.

885 (28) S.S. Papadopulos & Associates Inc. (Cohen, H.A.; Grigsby, F.B; 886 Bessinger, B.D.) in Association with QA/QC Solutions (McAteer Jr, 887 J.J.) and Echelon Applied Geoscience Consulting (Baldassare, F.) 888 Review of U.S. EPA's December 2011 Draft Report "Investigation of 889 Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming. Prepared for 890 The Independent Petroleum Association of America, Washington 891 D.C., April 26, 2012.

(29) Kelly, S. Comments on EPA Draft Research Report:
Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion,
Wyoming, March 8, 2012; EPA Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD2011-0895.

(30) Kelly, S. Comments on EPA Draft Research Report:
Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion,
Wyoming, Phase V Sampling Event, January 8, 2013. EPA Docket
ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2011-0895.

900 (31) Stephens, D. B. Analysis of the groundwater monitoring 901 controversy at the Pavillion, Wyoming natural gas field. *Groundwater* 902 **2015**, 53 (1), 29–37.

903 (32) U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Land Management.
904 Letter to James B. martin, Regional Administrator, EPA Region 8,
905 Denver, CO from Donald A. Simpson, State Directory, March 1, 2012.
906 (33) American Water Works Association. Letter to Cynthia Sonich907 Mullin, Director of the National Risk Management Research Laboratory,
908 EPA Office of Research and Development from Thomas W. Curtis,
909 Deputy Executive Director, January 27, 2012.

910 (34) New York State Water Resources Institute. A Perspective on the 911 USEPA Study of Pavillion, Wyoming-Relevance and Lessons for NY, 912 January 16, 2012.

913 (35) Delaware Riverkeeper Network. *Review of EPA Investigation of*914 *Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming, March* 9, 2012.
915 (36) Natural Resources Defense Council. Sierra Club, Oil and Gas
916 Accountability Project, Wyoming Outdoor Council, Review of Draft:
917 Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion
918 Wyoming Prepared by the Environmental Protection Agency, Ada,
919 OK, May 1, 2012.

920 (37) New Mexico Citizens for Clean Air & Water. Comments on Draft 921 Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming, 922 February 1, 2012.

923 (38) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Investigation of Ground* 924 Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming Phase V Sampling Event, 925 Summary of Methods and Results. Office of Research and Development,

926 National Risk Management Research Laboratory and Region 8, 927 Denver, CO. September 2012. ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/ 928 phase5/PavillionSeptember2012Narrative.pdf.

929 (39) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Groundwater Sampling 930 Results at Locations near Pavillion, WY, Pavillion Phase V (April 2012) 931 Groundwater Quality Results and Quality-Control (QC) Data. Office of 932 Research and Development, National Risk Management Research 933 Laboratory and Region 8, Denver, CO. 2012. ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/

934 pavilliondocs/phase5/PavillionSeptember2012Appendices.pdf.

935 (40) Wright, P. R., McMahon, P. B. Sampling and Analysis Plan for the 936 Characterization of Groundwater Quality in Two Monitoring Wells near 937 Pavillion, Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2012-938 1197, 2012. http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2012/1197/.

939 (41) Wright, P. R., McMahon, P. B., Mueller, D. K., Clark, M. L.
940 Groundwater-Quality and Quality-Control Data for Two Monitoring
941 Wells near Pavillion, Wyoming, April and May 2012; U.S. Geological
942 Survey Data Series 718, 2012. http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/718/.

943 (42) Cottrell, G. L. and Myers, D. N. U.S. Geological Service 944 (USGS). Transmittal of Contract Laboratory Results and Evaluation of 945 Laboratory-Specific Quality Control Measures, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Monitoring Well MW02, Pavillion Wyoming 2012, 946 Administrative Report Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection 947 Agency. Director Approved August 30, 2012. ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/ 948 pavilliondocs/phase5/USGS_MW02_AdministrativeReportSep2012. 949 pdf. 950

(43) Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. *Pavillion* 951 *Field Well Integrity Review*, October 8, 2014. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/ 952 pavillionworkinggrp/PAVILLION_REPORT_1082014_Final_ 953 Report.pdf. 954

(44) Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. *Pavillion* 955 *Field Pit Review*, November 24, 2014. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/ 956 pavillionworkinggrp/Draft%20Pavillion%20Field%20Pits%20Review_ 957 11242014.pdf. 958

(45) Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality. *Pavillion*, 959 *Wyoming Domestic Water Wells Draft Final Report and Palatability* 960 *Study*; Prepared by Acton Michelson Environmental, Inc: El Dorado 961 Hills, CA, December 14, 2015; http://deq.wyoming.gov/wqd/ 962 pavillion-investigation/ (accessed 3/1/2016). 963

(46) EnCana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc.. Submittal of Material Safety and 964 Data Sheets and Letter to U.S. EPA, Region 8, Denver, CO, October 965 19, 2009. 966

(47) Natural Resources Defense Council. Freedom of Information 967 Act (FOIA) Online. Accessed on 8/25/2015. https://foiaonline. 968 regulations.gov/foia/action/public/view/request?objectId= 969 090004d2806a7021. 970

(48) Daddow, R. L. Water Resources of the Wind River Indian 971
Reservation, Wyoming, U.S, Geological Survey Report 95-4223, 1996. 972
(49) Wyoming Water Development Office. Wyoming State Water 973
Plan, Wind/Bighorn River Basin Plan, Jan. 14, 2003. http://waterplan. 974
state.wy.us/plan/bighorn/techmemos/grnddet.html. 975

(50) Morris, D. A.; Hackett, O. M.; Vanlier, K. E.; Moulder, E. 976 A.;Durum, W. H. *Ground-Water Resources of Riverton Irrigation Project* 977 *Area*, Paper 1375; Geological Survey Water-Supply: WY, 1959. 978

(51) Plafcan, M., Eddy-Miller, C. A., Ritz, G. F., and Holland, J. P. R. 979 *Water resources of Fremont County,* Water-Resources Investigations 980 Report 95-4095; U.S. Geological Survey: WY, 1995. 981

(52) Gores and Associates. Pavillion Area Water Supply I Study, 982 Final Report for the Wyoming Water Development Commission, 983 October 2011 http://www.jamesgoresandassociates.com/DocFiles/ 984 Pavillion Area Water Supply Level I Study Final Report.pdf. 985

(53) Daddow. R. L. Ground-Water and Water Quality Data Through 986 1991 for Selected Wells and Springs on the Wind River Indian Reservation, 987 Wyoming; U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 92-455, 1992. 988

(54) McGreevy, L. J.; Hodson, W. G.; Rucker IV, S. J. Ground-Water 989 Resources of the Wind River Indian Reservation Wyoming, Geological 990 Survey Water-Supply Paper 1576–1, 1969. 991

(55) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Denver, CO. 992 Pavillion Gas Well Integrity Evaluation, July 25, 2013 ftp://ftp.epa.gov/ 993 r 8 / p a v i l l i o n d o c s / O t h e r D o c u m e n t s / 994

WellAndFieldPitsEvaluationJuly2013/ 995 GasWellIntegrityEvaluation25July2013Final.pdf. 996

(56) California Council on Science and Technology. Advanced Well 997 Stimulation Technologies in California; Lawrence Berkeley National 998 Laboratory, Pacific Institute, 2014 http://www.ccst.us/publications/ 999 2014/2014wst.pdf. 1000

(57) Jackson, R. B.; Lowry, E. R.; Pickle, A.; Kang, M.; DiGiulio, D.; 1001 Zhao, K. The depths of hydraulic fracturing and accompanying water 1002 use across the United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, 49 (15), 1003 8969–8976. 1004

(58) Adachi, J.; Siebrits, E.; Peirce, A.; Desroches, J. Computer 1005 simulation of hydraulic fractures. *International Journal of Rock* 1006 *Mechanics & Mining Science* **2007**, *44*, 739–757. 1007

(59) Fisher, K.; Warpinski, N. Hydraulic fracture – height growth: 1008 Real data. SPE 145949 **2011**, DOI: 10.2118/145949-MS. 1009

(60) Valkó, P. P.; Economides, M. J. Fluid-leakoff delineation in high 1010 permeability fracturing. *SPE Prod. & Facilities* **1999**, *14* (2), 117–130. 1011 (61) Yarushina, V. M.; Bercovici, D.; Oristaglio, M. L. Rock 1012 deformation models and fluid leak-off in hydraulic fracturing. *Geophys.* 1013 *J. Int.* **2013**, *194*, 1514–152. 1014 1015 (62) Myers, T. Potential contaminant pathways from hydraulically 1016 fractured shale to aquifers. *Groundwater* **2012**, *50* (6), 872–882.

1017 (63) Ingraffea, A. R. An Analysis of the "Pavillion Field Well Integrity
1018 Review," September 5, 2014. http://wogcc.state.wy.us/
1019 pavillionworkinggrp/publiccomments11202014/PRBRC09052014.
1020 pdf.

1021 (64) Maguire-Boyle, S. J.; Barron, A. R. Organic compounds in 1022 produced waters from shale gas wells. *Environmental Science Processes* 1023 & *Impacts* **2014**, *16* (10), 2237–48.

1024 (65) Hildenbrand, Z. L.; Carlton, D. D.; Fontenot, B. E.; Meik, J. M.; 1025 Walton, J. L.; Taylor, J. T.; Thacker, J. B.; Korlie, S.; Shelor, P.; 1026 Henderson, D.; Kadjo, A. F.; Roelke, C. E.; Hudak, P. F.; Burton, T.; 1027 Rifai, H. S.; Schug, K. A. A Comprehensive Analysis of Groundwater 1028 Quality in the Barnett Shale Region. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49*, 1029 8254–8262.

1030 (66) Colborn, T.; Schultz, K.; Herrick, L.; Kwiatkowski, C. An 1031 Exploratory Study of Air Quality near Natural Gas Operations. *Hum.* 1032 *Ecol. Risk Assess.* **2014**, *20* (1), 86–105.

1033 (67) Lothenbach, B.; Le Saout, G.; Gallucci, E.; Scrivener, K. 1034 Influence of limestone on the hydration of Portland cements. *Cem.* 1035 *Concr. Res.* **2008**, 38, 848–860.

1036 (68) Lothenbach, B.; Matschei, T.; Möschner, G.; Glasser, F. P. 1037 Thermodynamic modeling of the effect of temperature on the 1038 hydration and porosity of Portland cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2008**, *28*, 1039 1–18.

1040 (69) Lothenbach, B.; Winnefeld, F. Thermodynamic modeling of the 1041 hydration of Portland cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2006**, 36 (2), 209– 1042 226.

1043 (70) Brouwers, H. J. H.; van Eijk. Alkali concentrations of pore 1044 solution in hydrating OPC. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2003**, *33*, 191–196.

1045 (71) Leemann, A.; Lothenbach, B. The influence of potassium-1046 sodium ratio in cement and concrete expansion due to alkai-aggregate 1047 reaction. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2008**, 38, 1162–1168.

1048 (72) Andersson, K.; Allard, B.; Bengtsson, M.; Magnusson, B. 1049 Chemical composition of cement pore solutions. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 1050 **1979**, *19*, 327–332.

(73) Thomas, J. J.; Rothstein, D.; Jennings, H. M.; Christensen, B. J.
1052 Effect of hydration temperature on the solubility behavior of Ca-, S-,
1053 Al-, and Si-bearing solid phases in Portland cement pastes. *Cem. Concr.*1054 *Res.* 2003, 33, 2037–2047.

1055 (74) van Eijk, R. J.; Brouwers, H. J. J. Prediction of hydroxyl 1056 concentrations in cement pore water using a numerical cement 1057 hydration model. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2000**, *20*, 1801–1806.

1058 (75) Lothenbach, B.; Le Saout, G.; Gallucci, E. and Scrivener, K. 1059 Influence of limestone on the hydration of Portland cements. *Cem.* 1060 *Concr. Res.* **2008**, 38, 848–860.

1061 (76) Lothenbach, B.; Matschei, T.; Möschner, G.; Glasser, F. P. 1062 Thermodynamic modeling of the effect of temperature on the 1063 hydration and porosity of Portland cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2008**, *28*,

1064 1–18. 1065 (77) Lothenbach, B.; Winnefeld, F. Thermodynamic modeling of the 1066 hydration of Portland cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2006**, *36* (2), 209– 1067 226.

1068 (78) Rothstein, D.; Thomas, J. J.; Christensen, B. J.; Jennings, H. M. 1069 Solubility behavior of Ca-, S-, Al-, and Si-bearing solid phases in 1070 Portland cement pore solutions as a function of hydration time. *Cem.* 1071 *Concr. Res.* **2002**, *32*, 1663–1671.

1072 (79) Beak, D. G.; Oberley, G. G.; Ruybal, C. J.; Acree, S. D.; Ross, R. 1073 R. Retrospective Case Study in Killdeer, North Dakota: Study of the 1074 Potential Impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing on Drinking Water Resources,

1075 EPA/600/R-14/103; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of 1076 Research and Development, Washington, DC, May 2015.

1077 (80) Cummins, T. M.; Robbins, G. A.; Henebry, B. J.; Goad, C. R.; 1078 Gilbert, E. J.; Miller, M. E.; Stuart, J. D. A Water Extraction, Static 1079 Headspace Sampling, Gas Chromatographic Method to Determine 1080 MTBE in Heating Oil and Diesel Fuel. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *35*, 1081 1202–1208.

1082 (81) Deeb, R. A.; Chu, K.-H.; Shih, T.; Linder, S.; Suffer, I.; 1083 Kavanaugh, M. C. Alvarez-Cohen, L. MTBE and other oxygenates: Environmental sources, analysis, occurrence, and treatment. *Environ*. 1084 Eng. Sci. 2003, 20 (5), 433–447. 1085

(82) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Monitoring and reporting* 1086 of *MTBE and other oxygenates at UST release sites*, memorandum from 1087 Director Office of Underground Storage Tanks to Regional UST 1088 Program Mangers and State UST Program Managers. Dated January 1089 18, 2000. http://www.epa.gov/oust/mtbe/jan1800.pdf. 1090

(83) Robbins, G. A.; Henebry, B. J.; Schmitt, B. M.; Bartolomeo, F. 1091 B.; Green, A.; Zack, P. Evidence of MTBE in heating oil. Groundwater. 1092 *Groundwater Monit. Rem.* **1999**, *19* (2), 65–69. 1093

(84) Robbins, G. A.; Henebry, B. J.; Cummins, T. M.; Goad, C. R.; 1094 Gilbert, E. J. Occurrence of MTBE in heating oil and diesel fuel in 1095 Connecticut. *Groundwater Monit. Rem.* **2000**, 20 (4), 82–86. 1096

(85) Engelsen, C. J. *Quality Improvers in Cement Making – State of the* 1097 *Art.* COIN Project P1 Advanced Cementing Materials. SP 1.1F 1098 Reduced CO₂ missions. COIN Project report 2 – 2008. http://www. 1099 sintef.no/upload/Byggforsk/Publikasjoner/coin-no2.pdf. 1100

(86) Ervanne, H., and Hakanen, M. Analysis of Cement Super- 1101 plasticizers and Grinding Aids, A Literature Survey, Working Report, 1102 Posiva, 2007. 1103

(87) Jeknavorian, A. A.; Barry, E. F.; Serafin, F. Determination of 1104 grinding aids in Portland cement by pyrolysis gas chromatography-1105 mass spectrometry. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1998**, 28 (9), 1335–1345.

(88) Teoreanu, I.; Guslicov, G. Mechanisms and effects of additives 1107 from the dihydroxy-compound class on Portland cement grinding. 1108 *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1999**, *29*, 9–15. 1109

(89) Smith, B.; Siegel, D.; Neslund, C.; Carter, C. Organic 1110 contaminants in Portland cement used in monitoring well 1111 construction. *Groundwater Monit. Rem.* **2014**, 34 (4), 102–111. 1112

(90) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Background Information* 1113 for the Leaching Environmental Assessment Framework (LEAF) Test 1114 *Methods*, Appendix C - Preliminary Version of Method 1315, EPA/ 1115 600/R-10/170, November 2010, Office of Research and Development, 1116 National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Research Triangle 1117 Park, NC. 1118

(91) Wolfe, A. L.; Wilkin, R. T.; Lee, T. R.; Ruybal, C. J.; Oberley, G. 1119 G. Retrospective Case Study in the Raton Basin, Colorado Study of the 1120 Potential Impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing on Drinking Water Resources; 1121 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and 1122 Development Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-14/091, May 2015. 1123

(92) Beak, D. G.; Overbay, M. D.; Mravik, S. C. Retrospective Case 1124 Study in Wise County, Texas Study of the Potential Impacts of Hydraulic 1125 Fracturing on Drinking Water Resources, EPA/600/R-14/090; U.S. 1126 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Develop- 1127 ment: Washington, DC, May 2015b. 1128

(93) Ludwig, R. D.; Beak, D. G.; Wilkin, R. T.; Ruybal, C. J.; 1129 Rectenwald, D. J. *Retrospective Case Study in Northeastern Pennsylvania* 1130 *Study of the Potential Impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing on Drinking Water* 1131 *Resources,* EPA/600/R-14/088; U.S. Environmental Protection 1132 Agency, Office of Research and Development: Washington, DC, 1133 May 2015. 1134

(94) Wilkin, R. T.; Lee, T. R.; Ruybal, C. J.; Rectenwald, D. J. 1135 Retrospective Case Study in Southwestern Pennsylvania Study of the 1136 Potential Impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing on Drinking Water Resources, 1137 EPA/600/R-14/084; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of 1138 Research and Development: Washington, DC, May 2015. 1139

(95) Cozzarelli, I. M.; Baedecker, M. J.; Eganhouse, R. P.; Goerlitz, 1140 D. F. The geochemical evolution of low-molecular-weight organic 1141 acids derived from the degradation of petroleum contaminants in 1142 groundwater. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1994**, *58* (2), *863–877*. 1143 (96) Whareles W. K.; Theedean L. L. Kalcoures, F. Haelealerth, W. N.

(96) Kharaka, Y. K.; Thordsen, J. J.; Kakouros, E.; Herkelrath, W. N. 1144 Impacts of petroleum production on ground and surface waters: 1145 Results from the Osage-Skiatook petroleum environmental research a 1146 site, Osage County, Oklahoma. *Environ. Geosci.* **2005**, *12* (2), 127– 1147 138. 1148

(97) Akob, D. M.; Cozzarelli, I. M.; Dunlap, D. S.; Rowan, E. L.; 1149 Lorah, M. M. Organic and inorganic composition and microbiology of 1150 produced waters from Pennsylvania shale gas wells. *Appl. Geochem*. 1151 **2015**, *60*, 116–125. 1152 (98) Cluff, M. A.; Hartsock, A.; MacRae, J. D.; Carter, K.; Mouser, P.
1154 J. Temporal changes in microbial ecology and geochemistry in
1155 produced water from hydraulically fractured Marcellus Shale gas wells.
1156 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48 (11), 6508–6517.

1157 (99) Orem, W.; Tatu, C.; Varonka, M.; Lerch, H.; Bates, A.; Engle, 1158 M.; Crosby, L.; McIntosh, J. Organic substances in produced and 1159 formation water from unconventional natural gas extraction in coal 1160 and shale. *Int. J. Coal Geol.* **2014**, *126*, 20–31.

(100) Murali Mohan, A.; Hartsock, A.; Hammack, R. W.; Vidic, R.
1162 D.; Gregory, K. B. Microbial communities in flowback water
1163 impoundments from hydraulic fracturing for recovery of shale gas.
1164 FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2013, 86 (3), 567–580.

(101) Lester, Y.; Ferrer, I.; Thurman, E. M.; Sitterley, K. A.; Korak, J.
A.; Aiken, G.; Linden, K. G. Characterization of hydraulic fracturing
flowback water in Colorado: implications for water treatment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2015, *S12–S13*, 637–44.

(102) Olsson, O.; Weichgrebe, D.; Rosenwinkel, K. H. Hydraulic
fracturing wastewater in Germany: composition, treatment, concerns. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 2013, *70*, 3895–3906.

1172 (103) Mägli, A.; Messmer, M.; Leisinger, T. Metabolism of 1173 Dichloromethane by the Strict Anaerobe Dehalobacterium formicoa-1174 ceticum. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1988**, *64* (2), *646–650*.

1175 (104) Ferrer, I.; Thurman, E. M. Analysis of hydraulic fracturing 1176 additives by LC/Q-TOF-MS. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, 407 (21), 1177 6417–6428.

1178 (105) Thurman, E. M.; Ferrer, I.; Blotevogel, J.; Borch, T. Analysis of 1179 hydraulic fracturing flowback and produced waters using accurate 1180 mass: Identification of ethoxylated surfactants. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**, *86* 1181 (19), 9653–9661.

(106) Thurman, E. M.; Ferrer, I.; Rosenblum, J.; Linden, K.; Ryan, J.
1183 M. Identification of polypropylene glycols and polyethylene glycol
1184 carboxylates in flowback and produced water from hydraulic fracturing.
1185 J. Hazard. Mater. 2016, http://dx.doi.org/10.10116/j.jhazmat.2016.02.
1186 041.10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.02.041

(107) Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. Comments of Encana Oil & Gas
(USA) Inc. on the WOGCC Report: Pavillion Field Pit Review (Nov
24, 2014) submitted January 16, 2015 http://wogcc.state.wy.us/
1190 p a villio n w orkinggrp / Pit R evie w P u b C o m m ents /
1191 Encana20150116CommentstoWOGCCPitRvw.pdf.

1192 (108) Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. Document 2 Summary of
1193 Historic Pit Evaluation and Remediation Activities Pavillion Field,
1194 Wyoming January 2015 http://wogcc.state.wy.us/
1195 pavillionworkinggrp/PitReviewPubComments/
1196 Encana20150116Doc2CommentsHistPitEval.pdf.

(109) Wingert, W.S. G c-m.s analysis of diamondoid hydrocarbons in smackover petroleums. *Fuel* **1992**, *71*, 37–43.

1199 (110) Grice, K.; Alexander, R.; Kagi, R. I. Diamondoid hydrocarbon 1200 ratios as indicators of biodegradation in Australian crude oils. *Org.* 1201 *Geochem.* **2000**, *31*, 67–73.

1202 (111) Williams, J. A.; Bjorøy, M.; Dolcater, D. L.; Winters, J. C. 1203 Biodegradation in South Texas Eocene oils – Effects on aromatics and 1204 biomarkers. *Org. Geochem.* **1986**, *10*, 451–461.

1205 (112) Stout, S. A.; Douglas, G. S. Diamondoid hydrocarbons -1206 Application in the chemical fingerprinting of natural gas condensate 1207 and gasoline. *Environ. Forensics* **2004**, *5*, 225–235.

1208 (113) American Petroleum Institute. *Downward Solute Plume* 1209 *Migration: Assessment, Significance, and Implications for Characterization* 1210 *and Monitoring of "Diving Plumes"*, Regulatory Analysis and Scientific 1211 Affairs API Soil and Groundwater Technical Task Force Bulletin 24, 1212 April 2006.

1213 (114) United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA 2001). 1214 *Plume Dive Calculator*. Prepared by Jim Weaver for the EPA Office of 1215 Research and Development. 2001 http://www.epa.gov/athens/ 1216 learn2model/part-two/onsite/diving.htm.

1217 (115) Weaver, J. W.; Wilson, J. T. Diving plumes and vertical 1218 migration at petroleum hydrocarbon release sites. *LUSTLine* **2000**, 1219 Bulletin 36, 12–15.

1220 (116) Llewellyn, G. T.; Dorman, F.; Westland, J. L.; Yoxtheimerc, D.; 1221 Grievec, P.; Sowersc, T.; Humston-Fulmerd, E.; Brantley, S. L. Evaluating a groundwater supply contamination incident attributed to 1222 Marcellus Shale gas development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2015**, 1223 *112* (20), 6325–6330. 1224

(117) Darrah, T. H.; Vengosh, A.; Jackson, R. B.; Warner, N. R.; 1225 Poreda, R. J. Noble gases identify the mechanisms of fugitive gas 1226 contamination in drinking-water wells overlying the Marcellus and 1227 Barnett Shales. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2014**, *111* (39), 14076–1228 14081. 1229

(118) Jackson, R. B.; Vengosh, A.; Darrah, T. H.; Warner, N. R.; 1230 Down, A.; Poreda, R. J.; Osborne, S. G.; Zhao, K.; Karr, J. D. Increased 1231 stray gas abundance in a subset of drinking water wells near Marcellus 1232 shale gas extraction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (28), 1233 11250–11255. 1234